

Terms of Reference for Fisheries Assessment Working Groups (FAWGs) in 2017

Overall purpose

The purpose of the FAWGs is to assess the status of fish stocks managed within the Quota Management System, as well as other important species of interest to New Zealand. Based on scientific information the FAWGs assess the current status of fish stocks or species relative to MSY-compatible reference points and other relevant indicators of stock status, conduct projections of stock size and status under alternative management scenarios, and review results from relevant research projects. They do not make management recommendations or decisions (this responsibility lies with MPI fisheries managers and the Minister responsible for fisheries).

Preparatory tasks

1. Prior to the beginning of the main sessions of FAWG meetings (January to May and September to November), MPI fisheries scientists will produce a list of stocks and issues for which new stock assessments or evaluations are likely to become available prior to the next scheduled sustainability rounds. This list will include stocks for which the fishing industry and others intend to directly purchase scientific analyses. It is therefore incumbent on those purchasing research to inform the relevant FAWG chair of their intentions at least three months prior to the start of the sustainability round. FAWG Chairs will determine the final timetables and agendas for each Working Group.
2. At least six months prior to the main sessions of FAWG meetings, MPI fisheries managers will alert MPI science managers and the Principal Advisor Fisheries Science to unscheduled special cases for which assessments or evaluations are urgently needed.

Technical objectives

3. To review new research information on stock structure, productivity, abundance and related topics for each fish stock/issue under the purview of individual FAWGs.
4. Where possible, to derive appropriate MSY-compatible reference points¹ for use as reference points for determining stock status, based on the Harvest Strategy Standard for New Zealand Fisheries² (the Harvest Strategy Standard).
5. To conduct stock assessments or evaluations for selected fish stocks in order to determine the status of the stocks relative to MSY-compatible reference points¹ and associated limits, based on the "Guide to Biological Reference Points for Fisheries Assessment Meetings", the Harvest Strategy Standard, and relevant management reference points and performance measures set by fisheries managers.
6. For stocks where the status is unknown, FAWGs should use existing data and analyses to draw logical conclusions about likely future trends in biomass levels and/or fishing mortality (or

¹ MSY-compatible reference points include those related to stock biomass (i.e. B_{MSY}), fishing mortality (i.e. F_{MSY}) and catch (i.e. MSY itself), as well as analytical and conceptual proxies for each of the three of these quantities.

² Link to the Harvest Strategy Standard: <http://fs.fish.govt.nz/Page.aspx?pk=104>

exploitation) rates if current catches and/or TACs/TACCs are maintained, or if fishers or fisheries managers are considering modifying them in other ways.

7. Where appropriate and practical, to conduct projections of likely future stock status using alternative fishing mortality (or exploitation) rates or catches and other relevant management actions, based on the Harvest Strategy Standard and input from the FAWG and fisheries managers.
8. For stocks that are deemed to be depleted or collapsed, to develop alternative rebuilding scenarios based on the Harvest Strategy Standard and input from the FAWG and fisheries managers.
9. For fish stocks for which new stock assessments or analyses are not conducted in the current year, to review the existing Fisheries Assessment Plenary report text on the “Status of the Stocks” in order to determine whether the latest reported stock status summary is still relevant; else to revise the evaluations of stock status based on new data or analyses, or other relevant information.

Working Group reports

10. To include in the Working Group report information on commercial, Māori customary, non-commercial and recreational interests in the stock; as well as all other mortality to that stock caused by fishing, which might need to be allowed for in setting a TAC or TACC. Estimates of recreational harvest will normally be provided by the Marine Amateur Fisheries Working Group (MAFWG).
11. To provide information and advice on other management considerations (e.g. area boundaries, by-catch issues, effects of fishing on habitat, other sources of mortality, and input controls such as mesh sizes and minimum legal sizes) required for specifying sustainability measures. Sections of the Working Group reports related to bycatch and other environmental effects of fishing will be reviewed by the Aquatic Environment Working Group (AEWG) although the relevant FAWG is encouraged to identify to the AEWG Chair any major discrepancies between these sections and their understanding of the operation of relevant fisheries.
12. To summarise the stock assessment methods and results, along with estimates of MSY-compatible reference points and other metrics that may be used as benchmarks for assessing stock status.
13. To review, and update if necessary, the “Status of the Stocks” tables in the Fisheries Assessment Plenary report for all stocks under the purview of individual FAWGs (including those for which a full assessment has not been conducted in the current year) based on new data or analyses, or other relevant information.
14. For all important stocks, to complete (and/or update) the Status of Stocks tables using the template provided in the Introductory chapter of the most recent May and November Plenary reports.
15. It is desirable that full agreement amongst technical experts is achieved on the text of the FAWG reports, particularly the “Status of the Stocks” sections, noting that the AEWG will review sections on bycatch and other environmental effects of fishing, and the MAFWG will provide text on recreational harvests. If full agreement amongst technical experts cannot be reached, the Chair will determine how this will be depicted in the FAWG report, will document the extent to which agreement or consensus was achieved, and record and attribute any residual disagreement in the meeting notes.

Working Group input to the Plenary

16. To advise the Principal Advisor Fisheries Science about stocks requiring review by the Fisheries Assessment Plenary and those stocks that are not believed to warrant review by the Plenary. The general criteria for determining which stocks should be discussed by the Plenary are that (i) the assessment is controversial and Working Group members have had difficulty reaching consensus on one or more base cases, or (ii) the assessment is the first for a particular stock or the methodology has been substantially altered since the last assessment, or (iii) new data or analyses have become available that alter the previous assessment, particularly assessments of recent or current stock status, or projections of likely future stock status. Such information could include:
- new or revised estimates of MSY-compatible reference points, recent or current biomass, productivity or yield projections;
 - the development of a major trend in the catch or catch per unit effort; or
 - any new studies or data that extend understanding of stock structure, fishing patterns, or non-commercial activities, and result in a substantial effect on assessments of stock status.

Membership and Protocols for all Science Working Groups

17. FAWG members are bound by the Membership and Protocols required for all Science Working Group members.