

NEW ZEALAND DEEPWATER FISHERIES MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

HOKI



COMMITTED TO
SUSTAINABLE OCEANS
SUSTAINABLE FISHERIES

Deepwater Group Ltd	A non-profit organisation delivering the vision of New Zealand's deepwater quota owners to be recognized as having the best managed deepwater fisheries in the world, working closely with scientists and in partnership with the Ministry for Primary Industries.
Acknowledgements	DWG would like to extend their appreciation to all those who supported and contributed to the preparation of this report. In particular, we'd like to thank the Ministry for Primary Industries.
Disclaimer	DWG has made all reasonable efforts to ensure that information in this publication is accurate and correct. However, DWG does not accept any liability for any errors or omissions of content.
Citation	Clement, I.T; Gargiulo, S.; Irving, A.; Tilney, R. (2013). <i>New Zealand Deepwater Fisheries Management Practices: Hoki</i> . Wellington: Deepwater Group Ltd. Deepwater Group Ltd Occasional Publication Series. 32p.

Contents

Introduction	4
Profile	5
Habitat Range and Main Fishing Grounds	6
Stock Sustainability.....	7
Stock Structure	7
Harvest Strategy	7
Stock Status	8
History of the Fisheries & Stock Sizes	9
Stock Assessment	13
Managing Environmental Effects	14
Bycatch Species	14
Endangered, Protected & Threatened Species	14
Seabirds	16
New Zealand Fur Seal	19
Coral & Protected Fish Species	21
Habitats & Ecosystems.....	21
Effective Fisheries Management	24
Governance & Policy	24
Legal & Customary Framework	24
Collaborative & Participatory Processes.....	25
Compliance & Enforcement	25
Fishery Management Plans	26
Fisheries Plan.....	26
Non-Regulatory Management.....	27
Research Plan	28
Certified Sustainable	29
References	30

Introduction

*Our Vision:
To be recognised as the
best managed deepwater
fisheries in the world.*

New Zealand seafood products have a strong reputation for consistent high quality and for being harvested using world's best environmentally sustainable practices. Consumers wanting a safe and sustainable food source need look no further than the flagship New Zealand deepwater species, hoki.

Hoki is one of New Zealand's most commercially important deepwater fisheries. Hoki are caught by trawling within four main fishing regions off New Zealand's South Island: on the Chatham Rise, Campbell Plateau, along the West Coast, and in Cook Strait.

New Zealand's seafood industry, including quota owners in the hoki fisheries, is committed to ensuring sustainable utilisation. This is delivered through the business ethos that sound environmental practices make good business sense.

Our role is to supply consumers with safe, nutritious, appetising and affordable seafood. The combined pressures of human population growth, increasing energy costs and the need to ensure sustainable production, mean we need to find ways to produce more seafood, with more certainty, while minimising any environmental effects. By 2030 the world demand for food will double, which will need to be met while still maintaining the environmental integrity that supports this production sustainably.¹

Our commitment to sustainable utilisation includes the use of independent third party assessments to verify that our management practices are amongst the best world-leading practices. Since 2001, New Zealand hoki fisheries have been Certified as meeting the very high standards required by the Marine Stewardship Council (MSC) programme. New Zealand hoki is one of the first major white fish fisheries to be Certified by MSC without conditions.

Deepwater Group Ltd (DWG) is an alliance of quota owners in New Zealand's deepwater fisheries. DWG represents the interests of shareholders who collectively own 94% of the New Zealand hoki quota.

Profile

Referenced Names

Common Name

Hoki

Scientific Name

Macruronus novaezelandiae

Ministry Code

HOK

Fishing Method

Mid-water and bottom trawl.

Distribution

Widely distributed throughout New Zealand waters from 34° S to 54° S, from depths of 10 m to over 900 m, with greatest abundances between 200 m and 600 m.

Quick Facts

- Hoki is an internationally-accepted prime white fish with moist flaky flesh
 - Hoki are fast growing and can reach up to 27 cm within one year
 - Hoki were the first major whitefish to be certified sustainable by the internationally-recognised Marine Stewardship Council and were Certified for a record third time in 2012.
-



Habitat Range and Main Fishing Grounds




Figure 1: Hoki habitat range and main fishing grounds.

Stock Sustainability

Stock Structure

New Zealand hoki are managed as two separate stocks, an eastern and a western stock. Scientific research and assessments are carried out every year on each stock and catches from each are managed within separate catch limits under an overall Total Allowable Commercial Catch (TACC)ⁱ for HOK1, set by the Minister for Primary Industries.

Harvest Strategy

“When recruitment levels reduce, stock sizes reduce. The management response to this is to reduce fishing intensity by reducing the TACC levels.”

The Fisheries Act 1996 requires stocks managed under the Quota Management System (QMS) to be *“maintained at or above the biomass that can produce the Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY)”* (i.e. B_{MSY}). MSY is the largest average long-term annual catch that can be taken from a stock under prevailing ecological and environmental conditions.

The management target for hoki has been purposefully set to be above B_{MSY} (estimated to be 25% B_0 for the eastern stock and 27% B_0 for the western stockⁱⁱⁱ)². A Management Strategy Evaluation, which considered the appropriate biomass level that would deliver optimum catch rates, fish sizes, and catch volumes, has been used to set a management target range of 35 – 50% B_0 for both stocks³.

All fish populations, even those that aren't fished, naturally fluctuate in size. These fluctuations may be driven by variations in recruitment levels (i.e. the numbers of young fish entering the fishery each year) which are caused by changing environmental factors, such as the availability of plankton as food during the larval stage. For hoki, recruitment is naturally highly variable between years, with up to 19-fold fluctuations in the numbers of hoki recruiting into the fishery being measured between successive years. Periods of sustained low recruitment have been experienced in the past and the management targets take this into account, as similar fluctuations will likely continue in future years.

When recruitment levels reduce, stock sizes reduce. The management response to this is to reduce fishing intensity by reducing the TACC levels. Conversely, the TACC and catch levels are increased when stocks increase in size due to higher recruitment levels. Managing within a conservative target range provides a buffer to give time to respond to information on changes in stock size and to make TACC changes. Ultimately, it provides greater certainty that both hoki stocks will remain at or above B_{MSY} and within the optimum range for both long-term sustainability and economic harvest levels.

ⁱ The TACC is the amount of fish commercial fishermen are allowed to catch of a particular stock in a given year which has been set by the Minister.

ⁱⁱ B_{MSY} is the estimated biomass that will support the Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY)

ⁱⁱⁱ B_0 is the estimated biomass that would exist in the absence of fishing

Stock Status

Management reference points have been established for the hoki fisheries (Table 1)². The Table outlines the targets set and the management responses to different stock sizes to ensure these stocks are maintained at optimum sustainable levels.

Table 1: Hoki Fisheries Harvest Strategy

Reference Point	Management Response
Management Target of 35-50% B_0	Both stocks should fluctuate within this range. TACC changes are used to maintain stocks within this target range.
Soft limit of 20% B_0	If the size of either stock is below this threshold, a formal time-constrained rebuilding plan will be implemented to increase the stock size back up to within the Management Target range.
Hard limit of 10% B_0	If the size of either stock is below this limit, fisheries on this stock will be considered for closure.
Rebuild Strategy	The rebuild strategy requires a catch limit to be set to enable the stock to rebuild in not more than twice the time period it would take in the absence of fishing.
Harvest Control Rules	Management actions are determined after consideration of the current stock assessment, along with the results of five year forecasts of stock sizes under a range of catch assumptions, and guided by the management reference points.

Stock assessments, using simulation modelling, are undertaken annually for each stock. A combination of biological data (e.g. growth rates and recruitment levels), biomass estimates (from research surveys), and fisheries data (from commercial catches and observer records) are used to assess stock status against these reference points. Further modelling is used to estimate probable future stock biomass trajectories under different future harvest levels (see Section 1.5 for more detail).

The current stock size is estimated to be 50% B_0 or greater for each of the two hoki stocks, demonstrating that they are being maintained at or above the management target range (Table 2, Figure 8).

Table 2: Current Stock Status (from Run 1.7)⁴

Stock	Year of Assessment	B_0 (t)	B_{current} (t)	B_{current}/B_0 (% B_0)
Western Hoki	2013	967,000	550,000	56% (41-77%)
Eastern Hoki	2013	518,000	263,000	50% (36-69%)

History of the Fisheries & Stock Sizes

“Since 2004 the western stock size is estimated to have nearly tripled.”

Both stocks were progressively ‘fished down’ from around B_0 , the unfished size, to the management target range of 35-50% B_0 during the period between 1972 and the early 2000s (Figure 2).

Hoki stock sizes are subject to large inter-annual variations in recruitment and, while these fluctuated around the long term average level between 1972 and 1994, there was a sustained period of below average recruitment between 1995 and 2001. During this seven year period all of the year classes recruiting into the western stock were well below the average size and five of those recruiting into the eastern stock were below average (Figure 3). Most hoki recruit into the fishery at 2-3 years of age. This period of low recruitment resulted in declines in the size of both stocks. These declines were exacerbated by a lag in reducing the TACCs, which resulted in higher than desired fishing mortalities. Hoki exploitation rates peaked at 32% in 2002 and 2003, well above the desired level of between 12% to 21% that are required to maintain stock sizes within the optimum target range (Figure 4).

Since 2002, hoki exploitation rates have been decreased and recruitment levels into both stocks have increased and have fluctuated around the long term average level, enabling stock sizes to increase.

In response to low recruitment, major changes to the management of New Zealand’s hoki fisheries were progressively introduced between 2002 and 2008. These reduced the TACC from 250,000 t to 90,000 t, implemented separate catch limits for each of the western and eastern stocks, and closed areas known to have high abundances of juvenile hoki to target fishing. As a result, coupled with improved recruitment levels, the exploitation rates dropped to around 12% (Figure 4).

The 2002-2008 TACC and catch reductions were heavily weighted towards the western stock as this is estimated to have declined the most. The eastern stock is estimated to have declined in size to around 27% B_0 (i.e. above B_{MSY} but below the optimum target range) and annual catches were accordingly reduced from around 70,000 t to 60,000 t. The western stock is estimated to have declined in size to below the ‘Soft Limit’ (i.e. below 20% B_0), consequently a formal time-constrained rebuilding plan was implemented, and the western catch limit was set at 25,000 t.

Since 2010, consequent to both stocks increasing in size to within the management target range, the TACC has been progressively increased to 130,000 t and the western catch limit has been increased from 25,000 t to 70,000 t (Figures 5 & 6).

The hoki Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE), upon which the current harvest strategy for hoki has been determined, is based on the average annual recruitment levels during the period 1995 and 2009. This includes the period of low recruitment and excludes periods of high recruitment during earlier years (Figure 3). For this reason, managers accept the 130,000 t (+/- 20,000 t) optimum TACC as a conservative level and one that has provision for similar low recruitment eventualities. The MSE provided the outcome that, if both stocks were supported by recruitment at the average long-term level, the optimum long-term TACC would be in the order of 180,000 t.

Since 2004 the western stock size is estimated to have nearly tripled⁴. Future projections of stock size, based on the current catch levels and on recruitment levels the same as those entering the stocks on average over the period 1995 to 2010 (the very high 2011 YCS was considered to have been poorly estimated), estimate that the sizes of both stocks is likely to remain unchanged over the next five years.

The historical catches of hoki from 1972 to 2013 are shown in Figures 5-7. Catches track TACCs in New Zealand, formerly within $\pm 10\%$ and, over the last six years within $\pm 1\%$.




Figure 2: Spawning biomass trajectories for hoki eastern and western stocks, between 1972 and 2013, showing the management target range⁴.




Figure 3: Estimated year class strengths of two year old hoki recruits entering each of the western and eastern hoki stocks between 1970 and 2011⁴.




Figure 4: Hoki annual exploitation rates for each of the western and eastern stocks between 1972 and 2013⁴.




Figure 5: Historical catches, TACC and limits for each of the western and eastern hoki stocks⁴.




Figure 6: Historical catches and limits for the western hoki stock⁴.




Figure 7: Historical catches and limits for the eastern hoki stock⁴.




Figure 8: Stock size trajectories for the eastern (top) and western (bottom) stocks between 1972 (represented by red square) and 2013 against fishing intensity (U) and spawning biomass ($\%B_0$). The shaded areas represent the management target ranges⁴.

Stock Assessment

“...scientific service providers work together to ensure the hoki fisheries are adequately monitored and that research surveys and stock assessments are undertaken annually.”

The Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI), Deepwater Group Ltd (DWG) and scientific service providers work together to ensure the hoki fisheries are adequately monitored and that research surveys and stock assessments are undertaken annually.

The results of all contracted research are presented to MPI's Hoki Working Group (Hoki WG) which is an open scientific forum that provides staged technical guidance and peer review. All research information must meet (or exceed) MPI's Research and Science Information Standard for New Zealand Fisheries prior to being accepted as being sufficient quality to inform management decisions.

The Hoki WG evaluates relevant research, reviews research results, assesses the status of hoki stocks and estimates the likely future stock sizes over five years under different catch levels. It does not make management recommendations or decisions, as these responsibilities lie with MPI fisheries managers and the Minister for Primary Industries.

The Hoki WG is attended by MPI scientists, research providers, independent scientists, fisheries managers and representatives from Industry and environmental NGOs. Once accepted by the Hoki WG, stock assessments are peer reviewed through a scientific plenary process and are reported in the annual Fisheries Assessment Plenary Report⁴ (publically available on MPI's website⁵). For hoki, the specifications of the stock assessment have remained largely unchanged since the 2005 assessment. The most recent stock assessment was carried out in 2013, incorporating new information from the fisheries, from two trawl surveys, and from an acoustic survey⁴.

In common with stock assessments for most whitefish fisheries, the key outputs from the hoki stock assessment are estimates for each stock of: unfished spawning biomass (B_0), current spawning biomass, the biomass trajectories of spawning stock biomass, fishing mortality and the levels of recruitment.

Managing Environmental Effects

Bycatch Species

“More than 80% of catches by weight consists of hoki...”

New Zealand’s hoki fisheries generally take little non-commercial finfish bycatch⁶. More than 80% of catches by weight consists of hoki and most of the remainder of the catch is comprised of other commercial species sustainably managed under the Quota Management System (QMS) such as ling, hake, and silver warehou.

Detailed reporting and catch balancing procedures are required by law for QMS species taken within New Zealand’s Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ). All catches of quota species, whether taken as bycatch or as target catch, must be landed and reported against the appropriate catch limit and against Annual Catch Entitlements (ACE).

Due to the generally low catch volumes, species outside of the QMS are considered to be at low risk of being over fished. However, if a sustainability problem is identified for any non-QMS species, these may be introduced to the QMS under the provisions of the New Zealand Fisheries Act 1996 which requires such stocks, or species, be added to the QMS if the existing management is not ensuring sustainability or is not providing for utilisation.

The Fisheries Act, defines ‘ensuring sustainability’ as *“maintaining the potential of fisheries resources to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations”* and *“avoiding, remedying, or mitigating any adverse effects of fishing on the aquatic environment”* while ‘utilisation’ is defined as *“conserving, using, enhancing and developing a fisheries resources to enable people to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing.”*

Endangered, Protected & Threatened Species

Seabirds and marine mammals are at times attracted to fishing vessels as an opportunistic source of food. In doing so they may unwittingly put themselves at risk of harm. In seeking easy access to food, they have demonstrated that they can modify their behaviours to overcome obstacles. Patterns of ‘at risk’ behaviour are observed to vary both seasonally and between species, and to be dependent on their eagerness to feed in close proximity to vessels and nets. As such, incidental interactions with fishing vessels are inherent and will continue to occasionally occur. MPI and DWG have active programmes in place to reduce these risks by way of education, awareness, and improved mitigation methods.

All of New Zealand’s seabird species, and many species of corals and sharks are protected under the Wildlife Act 1953 (Table 3). All marine mammals in New Zealand are protected under the Marine Mammal Protection Act 1978. It is an offence to harass, hunt, or kill any of these protected species without lawful authority. While the accidental or incidental capture of these species by commercial fishing activities is not unlawful, all incidents must be reported.

“On average, around 20% of all tows targeting hoki have been observed in recent years.”

Table 3: Marine species fully protected under the Wildlife Act 1953

Phylum	Class		
Cnidaria	Anthozoa (corals and sea anemones)	Black corals	All species in the order Antipartharia
		Gorgonian corals	All species in the order Gorgonacea
		Stony corals	All species in the order Scleractinia
	Hydrozoa (hydra-like animals)	Hydrocorals	All species in the order Stylanderidae
Chordata	Chondrichthyes (cartilaginous fishes)	Lamniformes (mackerel sharks)	Basking shark (<i>Cetorhinus maximus</i>)
			Deepwater nurse shark (<i>Odontaspis ferox</i>)
			White pointer shark (<i>Carcharodon carcharias</i>)
		Orectolobiformes (carpet sharks)	Whale shark (<i>Rhincodon typus</i>)
		Rajiformes (skates and rays)	Manta ray (<i>Manta birostris</i>)
	Spinetail devil ray (<i>Mobula japonica</i>)		
	Osteichthyes (bony fishes)	Perciformes (perch-like fishes)	Giant grouper (<i>Epinephelus lanceolatus</i>)
Spotted black grouper (<i>Epinephelus daemeli</i>)			

Observer coverage of New Zealand’s hoki fisheries is delivered through the government’s Scientific Observer Programme, which provides independent monitoring of any interactions that occur between protected species and hoki fishing. On average, around 20% of all tows targeting hoki have been observed in recent years⁷. Annual reports based on observer information, show declining trends in the numbers of interactions between seabirds and marine mammals since 2003. This has resulted from both decreased effort in the hoki fisheries and from the introduction of mitigation measures to reduce incidental interactions during this period⁸.

Seabirds

“Hoki fisheries found not to pose a risk to seabirds (i.e. seabird population growth can sustain the few fishing-related captures).”

MPI uses a risk-based approach to assess and to prioritise seabird species that might require management intervention. This approach is informed by the New Zealand Seabird Risk Assessment⁹, which has quantitatively estimated the potential levels of risk to seabird populations arising from incidental mortalities associated with New Zealand’s commercial fisheries. Using this information, further research, education, and seabird mitigation measures can be determined and applied where these are most needed and where they will be most effective.

The hoki fisheries have been found not to pose a risk to seabirds (i.e. seabird population growth is able to sustain the few fishing-related captures). With effective mitigation measures in place the risk scores for deepwater fisheries, including those for hoki, have reduced over time¹⁰.

Trawlers targeting hoki all employ the world’s best practices to mitigate the risks of interactions with seabirds. Management measures to mitigate interactions with seabirds and New Zealand’s deepwater trawl fisheries currently include:

- Mandatory use of seabird mitigation devices during fishing;
- Mitigation research;
- Education, training and outreach;
- Vessel-specific offal management procedures; and
- Real-time incident reporting.

The current high level of observer coverage in New Zealand’s hoki fisheries enables independent monitoring and reporting of seabird interactions with both Government and industry risk mitigation requirements.

Captures of all bird types combined show a decreasing trend between 2002-03 and 2010-11 across all New Zealand deepwater fisheries¹⁰. During the last eight years the average observed capture rate in hoki trawl fisheries has been 2.2 birds per 100 tows, a low rate relative to other trawl fisheries in the same area during the same period⁴.

Although the numbers of captures have reduced, there are substantial differences in the trends between different species. One marked difference is in captures of large surface-feeding birds (e.g. albatrosses) compared to those of diving birds (e.g. petrels and shearwaters). Large surface-feeding birds (e.g. albatrosses) tend to feed on offal near the stern of trawlers, where, in their competition for food, they may get distracted from the dangers around them and fly into, or get caught by, trawl warps (i.e. steel cables connecting the submerged trawl gear to the vessel). Smaller seabirds (e.g. petrels and shearwaters), particularly those that dive for food, tend to feed around the trawl nets when they are near to or on the surface, placing themselves at risk of getting caught or entangled in the net.

One of the most important factors influencing interactions between seabirds and trawl warps is the presence of offal in the water, which acts as an attractant for foraging seabirds. Middleton and Abraham¹¹ confirmed that discharge of offal was the main factor influencing warp strikes; almost no strikes were recorded when there was no discharge.

Industry has developed and implemented Vessel-specific Management Plans (VMPs) which, through the management of offal discharges, have proved effective at mitigating these interactions. VMPs require all vessels to designate how they will reduce the presence of offal in the water when trawling. One method that has proven to be most effective is to release of factory waste in intermittent batches (as opposed to a continuous discharge) which reduces the time seabirds are attracted to the 'risk zone' at the stern of vessels and ahead of the warps. This approach has been accepted as world's best practice by the Agreement on the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels, and underpins the VMPs¹². Other best practice includes mitigation methods such as streamer lines, bird bafflers, and warp deflectors which have been mandatory since April 2006.

Notably, during the four fishing years since 2006, when mitigation to reduce warp strikes was implemented, the average capture rates for Salvin's and white-capped albatross have reduced significantly. In 2009-10, these rates were 0.20 and 0.21 birds per 100 tows, down from 0.61 and 0.26 birds per 100 tows during the three previous years⁴.

Although warp captures have reduced significantly, reducing net captures of small diving birds is proving to be more challenging. MPI and industry are continually seeking better ways to deter birds from attending the net.




Figure 9: Estimated seabird captures in the hoki trawl fisheries with 95% confidence intervals⁹.




Figure 10: Observed seabird captures in the hoki trawl fisheries⁹.




Figure 11: Effort and observed effort in hoki trawl fisheries⁹.




Figure 12: Mapped effort and captures from 2008 – 2009⁹.

New Zealand Fur Seal

“The hoki fishery is not having any unsustainable impacts on the fur seal population...”

The New Zealand fur seal was classified in 2008 as “Least Concern” by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) and in 2010 as “Not Threatened” under the NZ Threat Classification System¹³. Their numbers around New Zealand are widely considered to be increasing.

The numbers of incidental captures of fur seals by vessels targeting hoki has reduced over the past 12 years. This rate has been significantly reduced since 2005 when DWG and MPI implemented procedures to reduce these incidental captures (Figures 13 & 14)⁴.

During recent years, DWG and MPI have worked closely with scientists and eNGOs to develop and implement effective procedures to reduce incidental interactions with fur seals to the lowest possible levels. This has resulted in all deepwater vessel operators agreeing to follow the Marine Mammal Operational Procedures (MMOPs) and for each vessel’s performance to be audited by MPI¹⁴.

In 2008 MPI, DOC and DWG combined resources to undertake the first census of the New Zealand fur seal population along the west coast of the South Island¹⁵. This area was identified to be of most potential concern because of the number of incidental interactions between hoki vessels and foraging fur seals. The fur seal population estimate from the census was then used to estimate the level of ‘Potential Biological Removals’ (PBRs, i.e. number of fur seals that could be removed without detriment to the population size) using internationally-accepted scientific methods. These analyses established that the level of ‘captures’ was lower than the PBR and that, by this measure, the hoki fishery is not having any unsustainable impacts on the fur seal population in this area¹⁶. These analyses have been repeated by independent scientists and their results confirm this outcome¹⁷.




Figure 13: Estimated captures of New Zealand fur seal in hoki trawl fisheries with 95% confidence intervals¹⁸.




Figure 14: Observed captures of New Zealand fur seal in hoki trawl fisheries¹⁸.




Figure 15: Trawl effort and observer coverage in hoki trawl fisheries¹⁸.




Figure 16: Monthly distribution of total effort, observed effort and observed captures in hoki trawl fisheries¹⁸.




Figure 17: Average effort (blue colour scale), observer coverage (black dots), and observed captures (red dots) in hoki trawl fisheries¹⁸.

Coral & Protected Fish Species

MPI observers also record the levels of interactions with protected fish and coral species. Among all of the bycatch species for hoki fisheries no finfish or invertebrates are considered to be threatened. Four species of sharks (viz. basking, deepwater nurse, white pointer, and whale sharks) are protected by law in New Zealand waters. Of these four species, only basking sharks have been reported to be taken in hoki fisheries, although these occurrences are rare⁴. Further research is in progress to improve our understanding of interactions between basking sharks and hoki fisheries with the view of further reducing these interactions. Few protected coral species are recorded as bycatch in the hoki fisheries¹⁹, 4.8% of observed tows recorded coral bycatch between 2007-08 and 2009-10²⁰.

Habitats & Ecosystems

Hoki are widely distributed through New Zealand waters, are most abundant at depths of 200 to 600m, and are considered to be a key ecological component of the environment they inhabit².




Figure 18: Hoki preferred habitat area based on probability of capture analysis.

“15% of the hoki preferred habitat area is closed to bottom trawling.”

Hoki are taken by both mid-water and bottom trawls. Mid-water trawls predominate during the spawning fisheries when hoki are found in dense aggregations off the bottom, particularly in Cook Strait and along the west coast of the South Island. Bottom trawling for hoki occurs year-round, particularly over flat ground in the Chatham Rise and Sub-Antarctic fisheries regions. Bottom trawling is known to impact fragile benthic (or seabed) invertebrate communities.

Concerns have been expressed about the effects bottom trawling may be having on benthic communities. The impacts of bottom trawling on soft sediment habitats in depths of 400-800 m have not been extensively studied, although Oceans Survey 20/20 (a collaborative project developed by officials from 18 government organisations including MPI and NIWA) is undertaking research that may shed more light on the effects of fishing on the benthic environment.

MPI and DWG have also developed and implemented a programme of spatial management (Figure 19), which includes:

- Closed areas – where fishing is excluded or subject to gear restrictions
- Benthic Protection Areas (BPAs) – where bottom trawling is prohibited²¹
- ‘Seamount’ Closures – where fishing is prohibited.

BPAs are large, broadly representative areas closed to set aside and protect the full range of benthic marine biodiversity. Their selection was based on the best available scientific knowledge, the Marine Environment Classification, to encompass pristine areas that for the most part have not been impacted by trawling, to provide large and untouched refuges for benthic communities.

Table 4: Existing marine spatial management in New Zealand’s EEZ^{22 23}

Management Tool	Legislation	Restrictions	Area (km ²)
Benthic Protection Areas (BPAs)	Fisheries Act 1996 Fisheries (Benthic Protection Areas) Regulations 2007	Prohibition on use of dredge and restrictions on use of trawl net within 100 metres of the seabed.	1,124,539
‘Seamount’ Closures	Fisheries Act 1996 Fisheries Regulations	Prohibition on trawling	78,466
Total Area Closed (km²)^{iv}			1,200,741
Total Area as a Percentage of New Zealand’s EEZ			30%

In total, 30% of New Zealand’s EEZ is closed by law to bottom trawling. This New Zealand marine spatial management programme continues to constitute one of the largest bottom trawl closures within any EEZ in the world and when introduced comprised 24% of the total area under Marine Protection Areas (MPAs) in the world. Within this, 15% of the hoki preferred habitat area is closed to bottom trawling²¹.

^{iv} In some areas, BPAs and ‘seamount’ closures overlap. Therefore, this is based on the footprint area.

“In 2010-11, only 1.4% of the [hoki] preferred habitat area was contacted [by trawls].”




Figure 19: Location of the ‘seamount’ closures and BPA closures within the New Zealand EEZ.

Cumulatively, over the 22 year duration of the New Zealand target hoki fishery it is estimated that 10% of the seabed within the hoki preferred habitat area (Figure 18), has been contacted one or more times by trawls targeting hoki. In 2010-11, only 1.4% of the preferred habitat area was contacted. By these measures, the extent of the hoki bottom trawl grounds is only a very small part of the known habitat area and has continued to decline over the years.

The hoki fishing grounds have been progressively developed over the past two decades and there is now relatively little exploratory fishing over new grounds. Because of the healthy stock sizes and relatively high abundances of hoki, most hoki catches are taken from the same fishing grounds each year, and the fisheries are now primarily supported by relatively small, localised areas which sustain high catch rates year on year.

Effective Fisheries Management

Governance & Policy

“New Zealand was ranked first for managing marine resources among the 53 major fishing nations that were assessed.”

Legal & Customary Framework

New Zealand’s fisheries management regime is centred on the Quota Management System (QMS), a system introduced in 1986 based on Individual Transferrable Quotas (ITQ, quota) and Total Allowable Commercial Catches (TACCs). The QMS ensures sustainable utilisation of fisheries resources through the direct control of harvest levels based on best available independent scientific assessments.

Within the QMS, ITQ have been allocated in perpetuity providing each quota owner with a proportional share of the TACC. At the commencement of each year, ITQ give rise to Annual Catching Entitlements (ACE), the annual harvesting right expressed in tonnes. The QMS is administered by MPI through the Fisheries Act 1996.

Quota is an asset that provides owners with the incentives to increase the returns from their property rights by reducing harvest costs and increasing product values. Improved economic efficiencies have also resulted in alignment between fishing capacities and the sustainable catches from QMS fish stocks, thereby avoiding over-capitalised fisheries (i.e. too many vessels competing for available fish stocks).

Quota provides a property right to access commercial fisheries and has been allocated to Maori as part of the Treaty of Waitangi Settlements that acknowledge the Treaty guaranteed Maori *“full exclusive and undisturbed possession of their...fisheries”*. Maori interests are now significant participants in the New Zealand Seafood Industry.

New Zealand has implemented the most extensive quota-based fisheries management system in the world, with over a 100 species or species-complexes of fish, shellfish and seaweed now being managed within this framework. Almost all commercially targeted fish species within New Zealand’s waters are now managed within the QMS. The status of the stocks of each species within the QMS is determined using the best available scientific information and each stock is managed independently.

MPI employs fisheries managers (to advise the Minister on the appropriate level at which to set catch allowances) and fisheries scientists (to oversee the collection and analysis of scientific information to inform management advice). Fisheries managers and scientists work closely to ensure the management advice provided to the Minister is consistent with the best available scientific information. TACCs are set by the Minister based on advice provided by fisheries managers, in consultation with quota owners and other external stakeholders.

At an operational level, hoki is managed in accordance with the National Fisheries Plan for Deepwater and Middle-depth Fisheries².

New Zealand recently became one of only two fishing jurisdictions to achieve a top ranking in a review of fisheries management systems around the world²⁴. In a second study, New Zealand was ranked first for managing marine resources among the 53 major fishing nations that were assessed²⁵.

Collaborative & Participatory Processes

In 2006, DWG and MPI entered into a formal partnership to enable collaboration in the management of New Zealand's deepwater fisheries, including the hoki fisheries²⁶. This partnership has been updated in 2008 and 2010 and has directly facilitated improved management of the hoki fishery in almost all respects through:

- A close working relationship under a shared and agreed vision, objectives and collaborative work plan
- Real-time open communication between DWG and MPI on information relevant to management measures, particularly from the Ministry's Scientific Observer Programme and commercial catching operations
- Agreement on a strategic plan for the management of New Zealand's EEZ fisheries
- Development and implementation of clear and agreed management objectives for all New Zealand's deepwater fisheries, including hoki, through fisheries plans
- Increased dialogue with the Department of Conservation (DOC).

“Commercial fishermen face prosecution and risk severe penalties...”

Compliance & Enforcement

MPI maintains a comprehensive compliance programme, which includes both encouraging compliance through support and respect for the fisheries management regime, and creating effective deterrents.

Since 1994 all vessels over 28 metres are required by law to be part of the Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) which, through satellite telemetry, enables MPI to monitor all deepwater vessel locations at all times. In combination with at-sea and air surveillance, supported by the New Zealand joint military forces, the activities of deepwater vessels are fully monitored and verified to ensure compliance with both regulations and with industry-agreed operating codes.

All commercial catches from QMS stocks must be reported and balanced against ACE at the end of each month. Catches may only be landed at designated ports and sold to Licensed Fish Receivers (LFRs). Reporting requirements for deepwater trawl vessels include logging the location, depth and main species caught for each tow and the total landed catch for each trip undertaken.

MPI audits catch-effort and landing reports from deepwater vessels, reconciles these against multiple sources including VMS records, data collected by on-board MPI observers, and catch landing records from LFRs to ensure that all catches are reported and documented correctly. Around 20% of all tows targeting hoki are observed each year and MPI has plans in place to increase this coverage further⁸. Quayside inspections are also undertaken to verify reported landings.

Commercial fishermen face prosecution and risk severe penalties, including vessel or quota forfeiture, upon conviction of breaches to the fisheries regulations. Financial penalties are also imposed, in the form of deemed values, to discourage commercial fishermen from over-catching their ACE holdings. For every kilogram of catch above the available ACE held, MPI invoices the permit holders a deemed value charge. Deemed values are set at a level to remove the commercial value from sale of any catch above the level of ACE held. This provides the incentive for permit holders to acquire or maintain sufficient ACE to cover all their catch.

It is illegal to discard or to not report catches of QMS species. For some high value stocks, such as hoki, differential deemed values apply such that the rate that is charged increases depending on the proportion by which catches exceed ACE holdings.

The deepwater fishing industry in New Zealand works closely with the government to ensure compliance with all agreed management measures. A co-management approach to New Zealand's deepwater and middle-depth fisheries has been in place since 2006, encouraging open collaboration between quota holders and MPI²⁷.

This collaborative approach to management has enabled the development of shared reporting and monitoring processes that allow both parties to utilise their own operational expertise to ensure on-going adherence to the agreed non-statutory management measures. In the hoki fisheries, these management measures include the management of catches within the eastern and western stock catch limits and monitoring fishing activity within the Hoki Management Areas (HMAs, where fishing for small hoki is restricted). DWG works directly with vessel managers and skippers to administer the reporting and monitoring of catches against the sub-QMAv catch limits as well as vessel entry to and from the HMAs, while MPI performs an auditing and verification role to ensure that reliable data are being reported by industry vessels.

Total hoki catches taken in recent years have been within $\pm 1\%$ of the HOK1 TACC.

Fishery Management Plans

Fisheries Plan

MPI and DWG, in consultation with other interested parties, have developed a National Fisheries Plan for Deepwater and Middle-depth fisheries, including those for hoki. This Fisheries Plan (the Plan) is a statutory document, approved by the Minister of Fisheries in 2010². The Plan provides an enabling framework, outlining agreed management objectives, timelines, performance criteria and review processes, and has a life of five years between reviews.

The Plan specifies that the hoki fisheries will be assessed against agreed reference points for the management of hoki harvest. It specifies a range of objectives and measures for bycatch management and for the mitigation of incidental interactions with protected species (e.g. marine mammals, certain sharks and seabirds).

The actual management measures and delivery outcomes in the Plan are specified in MPI's Annual Operational Plan (AOP), which will be reviewed and updated annually. In addition, an Annual Review Report (ARR) assesses performance against the AOP, and the Plan in general, and is available to all stakeholders and interested parties.

^v A Quota Management Area (QMA), e.g. HOK1, generally defines the stock boundaries and TACCs are set at the QMA-level

“...regulations are complemented by additional industry-agreed non-regulatory measures.”

Non-Regulatory Management

Extensive regulations govern the hoki fisheries including regulations detailing the minimum allowable net mesh size, bottom trawl area closures and a ban on the use of cables for net sounders to reduce incidental interactions with seabirds. These regulations are complemented by additional industry-agreed non-regulatory measures, known as the New Zealand Deepwater Fisheries Operational Procedures. The Minister relies on the effectiveness of both regulatory and non-regulatory measures to ensure the sustainable management of these fisheries.

In 2001 hoki quota owners introduced a ‘Code of Practice’ for hoki trawling to reduce fishing mortality on spawning hoki and on small hoki. These measures included:

- Restrictions on fishing in waters shallower than 450 m;
- Requirements to change fishing location if small hoki exceed 10% of the catch; and
- Seasonal and area closures in spawning fisheries.

In 2009, this Code of Practice was revised and updated. These new measures are contained in the New Zealand Deepwater Operational Procedures (OPs) which cover all deepwater fisheries, including those for hoki. These OPs update the agreed measures to restrict fishing mortality on small hoki and set out industry best practice measures to minimise interactions with seabirds and marine mammals. These measures include:

- Hoki Management Areas (HMAs) – these are areas where there are high abundance of juvenile hoki (for these purposes, hoki <55 cm in total length) (Figure 20)
- Trawlers >28 m are not permitted to target hoki within HMAs.




Figure 20: Hoki Management Areas.

“...programme to improve both the information to underpin management decisions and the efficiencies in science service provision.”

Research Plan

In 2009, DWG proposed that the industry’s science and research programme should be integrated with that being undertaken by MPI to form a single and integrated 10-Year Research Programme that would be:

- Management Lead – to ensure we obtain adequate science-based information to underpin sustainable management decisions
- Comprehensive – increase the annual investment by MPI in deepwater science and information by 50% including more research surveys, more stock assessments, more stock characterisations, and greater observer coverage
- Environmentally Sound – including enhanced monitoring of interactions between the deepwater fleet and protected species, regular Ecological Risk Assessments to scientifically determine where fishing activities are causing risk of harm to the marine environment, and assessments of trophic interactions
- Cost Efficient – reduce service delivery costs through public tender and multi-year contracts.

In 2010, MPI implemented this 10-Year science and monitoring programme to improve both the information to underpin management decisions and the efficiencies in science service provision.

Certified Sustainable

“These fisheries have used good management practices to safeguard jobs and secure these precious renewable resources into the future. I congratulate the fishers and the MPI on their achievement.”

**MSC Chief Executive,
Rupert Howes²⁸**



New Zealand's hoki fisheries were not only the first major whitefish fisheries in the world to gain certification against the Marine Stewardship Council's (MSC) standards (in 2001), they are now the first to be re-certified for a third time.

The MSC's fishery certification programme sets the highest independent standards for sustainable fishing practices. MSC is a global organisation working with fisheries, seafood companies, scientists, conservation groups and the public to promote the best environmental choices in seafood.

The MSC standards are based on three principles:

1. Are the fish stocks healthy?
2. Is the fishery damaging the marine ecosystem?
3. Is there an on-going effective management of that fishery?

Fisheries are assessed by third-party accredited auditors and their findings are peer-reviewed.

New Zealand's hoki fisheries were re-certified in 2012 without objection and subject to a single condition.

MSC re-certification is a testament to the industry's commitment to continuous improvement and to the collaborative partnership with the Ministry for Primary Industries. That commitment has seen DWG and MPI actively developing and applying new methods and strategies to reduce interactions with seabirds, marine animals, and the broader marine ecosystem, and to align strategic and operational objectives, resulting in a long-term science and information plan and more investment in monitoring and research.

The single Condition Certification requires DWG and MPI to improve:

“...the management of habitat impacts of the hoki fishery, such that by the end of the third surveillance audit it can be shown that the fishery is highly unlikely to further reduce habitat structure and function to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.”⁶

DWG and MPI established an Action Plan to address this condition and during the 2013 Surveillance Audit this Condition was closed.

To track a fishery's certification progress go to:

<http://www.msc.org/track-a-fishery/fisheries-in-the-program>.

References

1. United Nations secretary-General's high-level panel on Global sustainability (2012). Resilient People, Resilient Planet: A future worth choosing. New York: United Nations.
 2. Ministry of Fisheries (2010) National Fisheries Plan for Deepwater and Middle-depth Fisheries: Part 1B. Fishery-specific Chapter: Hoki
 3. Langley A. (2009 & 2011) Determining an appropriate target biomass reference point for the New Zealand hoki fishery. Document dated December 2009 and November 2011.
 4. Ministry for Primary Industries (2013). Fisheries Assessment Plenary, May 2013: stock assessments and yield estimates. Compiled by the Fisheries Science Group, Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington, New Zealand. 1357p.
 5. Ministry for Primary Industries (2012). Document Library Homepage. Retrieved from <http://fs.fish.govt.nz/Page.aspx?pk=61&tk=297>
 6. Akroyd J., Pierre J. & Punt A. (2012). New Zealand Hoki Fisheries: 2nd Reassessment. Public Certification Report V5. Intertek Moody Marine.
 7. Ramm K. (2012). Conservation Services Programme Observer Report. 1 July 2009 to 30 June 2010. Marine Conservation Services, Department of Conservation.
 8. Abraham E. & Thompson F. (2011). Estimated capture of seabirds in New Zealand trawl and longline fisheries, 2002-03 to 2008-09. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No.79. 74p.
 9. Richard Y., Abraham E. & Filippi, D. (2011). Assessment of the risk to seabird populations from New Zealand commercial fisheries. Final Research Report for Ministry of Fisheries projects IPA2009/19 and IPA2009/20 (Unpublished report held by the Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington). 66p.
 10. Ministry for Primary Industries (2012). Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Annual Review 2012. Compiled by the Fisheries Management Science Team, Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington, New Zealand. 390p.
 11. Middleton D. & Abraham E. (2007). The efficacy of warp strike mitigation devices: trials in the 2006 squid fishery. Final Research Report for Ministry of Fisheries research project IPA2006/02.
 12. Pierre J., et al. (2010) Reducing interactions between seabirds and trawl fisheries: Responses to foraging patches provided by fish waste batches. *Biological Conservation*. 143 (11): 2779-2788
 13. Baker C., Chilvers B., Constantine R., DuFresne S., Mattlin R., van Helden A. & Hitchmough R. (2010). Conservation status of New Zealand marine mammals (suborders Cetacea and Pinnipedia), 2009. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 44: 101-115.
 14. Deepwater Group Ltd. (2011). Marine Mammal Operational Procedures. Version 7. Document dated 1 October 2011.
 15. Baker B., Jenz K., Cawthorn M. & Cunningham R. (2009). Census of New Zealand fur seals on the west coast of New Zealand South Island. Report prepared for Deepwater Group Ltd by Latitude 42 Environmental Consultants Pty Ltd. 21p.
 16. Tilney R. & Clement G. (2009). Deepwater Group Ltd report in response to Condition of Certification 008, MSC Principle 2 Performance Indicators 2.2.3.1 and 2.3.1.1 – Reduction of fur seal interactions on WCSI. 5p.
 17. Hamilton S. & Baker B. (2010) Assessment of the impact of selected fisheries mortality on New Zealand fur seal populations using the Potential Biological Removal (PBR) approach. Unpublished report prepared for Deepwater Group Ltd. October 2010. 35p.
 18. Thompson F., Berkenbusch K. & Abraham E. (2013). Marine mammal bycatch in New Zealand trawl fisheries, 1995-96 to 2010-11. New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 105. 77p.
-

-
19. Baird S.J., Tracey D., Mormede S. & Clark M. (2012). The distribution of protected corals in New Zealand waters. Prepared for New Zealand Department of Conservation. August 2012. 95p.
 20. Tracey D., Baird S.J., Saunders B., & Smith M.H. (2011). Distribution of protected corals in relation to fishing effort and assessment of accuracy of observer identification. Prepared for Marine Conservation Services, New Zealand Department of Conservation. June 2011. 70p.
 21. Helson J., Leslie S., Clement G., Wells R., Wood R. (2010). Private rights, public benefits: industry-driven seabed protection. *Marine Policy* 2011 34: 557-566.
 22. Black J. (2013). pers. comm. 14 February
 23. Ministry for Primary Industries (2012). National Aquatic Biodiversity Information System Homepage. Retrieved from <http://www.nabis.govt.nz/>
 24. Worm B., Hilborn, R. et al. (2009). Rebuilding Global Fisheries. *Science* 325 (5940): 578-585
 25. Alder J., Cullis-Suzuki S., et al. (2010). Aggregate performance in managing marine ecosystems of 53 maritime countries. *Marine Policy* 34 (3): 468-476
 26. Ministry of Fisheries and Deepwater Group Ltd. (2010). Memorandum of Understanding for the Deepwater Fisheries Management Partnership. 8p.
 27. Ministry of Fisheries and Deepwater Group Ltd. (2009). Compliance Charter. In: Memorandum of Understanding for the Deepwater Fisheries Partnership. Annex 2: Compliance. 1p.
 28. Marine Stewardship Council. (Feb 25, 2013). New Zealand's top three fisheries celebrate sustainable management. Retrieved from <http://www.msc.org/newsroom/news/new-zealand-fisheries-celebrate-certification-sustainable-management>
-

